



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,435	08/19/2003	Daniel Brian Tan	03-188-B	6982
31718	7590	06/17/2008	EXAMINER	
BELASCO, JACOBS & TOWNSLEY LLP			PATTERSON, MARC A	
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6100 CENTER DRIVE				1794
SUITE 630				
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/17/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patmail@bjtlaw.com
donna@bjtlaw.com
denise@bjtlaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/644,435	Applicant(s) TAN, DANIEL BRIAN
	Examiner MARC A. PATTERSON	Art Unit 1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7,9-29 and 31-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-29 and 31-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/136/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

REPEATED REJECTIONS

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1, 4 - 7, 9 - 23, 26 - 29 and 31 – 42 as being unpatentable over Huang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,435,350) in view of Williams (U.S. Patent No. 5,078,667) and Harris (U.S. Patent No. 6,822,051 B2), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 2 - 3 and 24 – 25 as being unpatentable over Huang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,435,350) in view of Williams (U.S. Patent No. 5,078,667) and Harris (U.S. Patent No. 6,822,051 B2) and further in view of Mawson et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0107342), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

3. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1, 4 - 7, 9 - 23, 26 - 29 and 31 – 42 as being unpatentable over Huang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,435,350) in view of Williams (U.S. Patent No. 5,078,667) and Harris (U.S. Patent No. 6,822,051 B2) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 2 - 3 and 24 – 25 as being unpatentable over Huang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,435,350) in view of Williams (U.S. Patent No. 5,078,667) and Harris (U.S. Patent No. 6,822,051 B2) and further in view of Mawson et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0107342), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 2 of the remarks dated March 3, 2008, that Harris does not contemplate the making of plastic bags.

However, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, Harris teaches that the use of a composition comprising a blend of high molecular weight high density polyethylene and medium molecular weight high density polyethylene in the making of bags is well known in the art.

Applicant also argues, on page 3, that the reference in Harris to plastic bags speaks to the grade of the film, not a blend of high molecular weight high density polyethylene and medium molecular weight high density polyethylene that is used.

However, the reference is specifically directed to the HMW – HDPE resins of the invention.

Applicant also argues, on page 4, that the previous Action incorrectly states that Harris teaches the selection of the amount of high molecular weight high density polyethylene because Harris is directed to piping.

However, as stated above, Harris also teaches that the use of a composition comprising the blends of the invention in the making of bags is well known in the art; the selection of the amount of high molecular weight high density polyethylene in the making of bags is also taught by Harris.

Applicant also argues, on page 5, that the statement that one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the utility of varying the amount of recycled material to obtain the desired amount of incineration constitutes impermissible hindsight.

However, no reason is provided as to why the statement constitutes hindsight.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marc A Patterson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794